



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/464,378	12/15/1999	RAFAEL BRACHO	ATSWP001	7455

22434 7590 02/20/2003
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP
P.O. BOX 778
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778

EXAMINER	
JUNG, DAVID YIUK	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2175
DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/464,378	Applicant(s) Bracho et al.
Examiner David Jung	Art Unit 2175



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 11, 2002.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2175

III. DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the word "events" meaning something more specific than what is claimed) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification (such as page 14 of the specification that is mentioned in the arguments) are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
2. Again, applicant is asked to amend the claims so as to make the claims conform to the meaning of the claims as argued in the arguments in the Response filed December 11, 2002 by applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Art Unit: 2175

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bentley (Bentley Select, "The Client Server", Volume 6, Number 10, October, 1998).

5. In regard to claim 1, Bentley teaches a method of transacting an event in an enterprise ..., comprising:

a. establishing a connection with an information by the publisher (page 1, ModelServer Publisher);
b. determining if the event is registered with the information broker (page 2, "ModelServer Continuum serves as an enterprise-wide engineering information broker");

c. accepting a subscription corresponding to a registered event by a subscriber (page 9, Java viewing applets i.e., registered event situation);

d. creating a platform neutral event (page 9, Java i.e., platform neutral);

e. populating the platform neutral event based upon the registered event (page 9, Java viewing applets i.e., registered event situation);

f. publishing the populated event to the information broker by the publisher (page 2, "ModelServer Continuum serves as an enterprise-wide engineering information broker"); and

Art Unit: 2175

g. receiving the published event by the information broker
(page 2, "ModelServer Continuum serves as an enterprise-wide engineering information broker").

6. These passages of Bentley do not expressly teach "computer system" as in claim 1.

7. It was well known in the art to have "computer system" as in claim 1 for the motivation of controlling paths of information. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the claimed invention, to modify the teachings to have such "computer system" for the motivation stated in the previous sentences.

8. In regard to claims 2-17, such particular data handlings are well known in the art for the motivation of providing efficient interface to users.

Conclusion

9. The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background. They were cited in the previous Office Actions.

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2175

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Points of Contact

11. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications
intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2175

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft
communications, please label "PROPOSED" or
"DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,
2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier
communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung
whose telephone number is (703) 308-5262 or Dov Popovici whose
telephone number is (703) 305-3830.

Application/Control Number: 09/464,378

Page 7

Art Unit: 2175

David Jung

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Jung". It consists of a large, open loop on the left, followed by a series of smaller, fluid strokes to the right.

Patent Examiner